

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/062,752	02/01/2002	Kouji Minami	S004-4641	2452
7590 04/06/2004			EXAMINER	
ADAMS & W		ROSE, ROBERT A		
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW 31st FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
50 BROADWAY			3723	
NEW YORK, NY 10004			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004	<i>9</i> 4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

:	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/062,752	MINAMI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Robert Rose	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1-12-	<u>04, 1-30-04</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 2-6 and 14 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,7-13 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Traferent Office.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/062,752 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1, 7-13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, line 2 the phrase "roulette-like" is deemed vague and indefinite, since it is unclear what attributes are embraced by the term "roulette-like". Similarly, in each of claims 7-13 the phrase "roulette-like" is deemed to render the scope of the claim indeterminant. MPEP706.03(d).
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 7-13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minami et al (US 6471570). Minami et al disclose an end face polishing device comprising substantially all of the subject matter set forth in the claims above. A polishing sheet is driven in a planetary motion moving while rotating on a circumference parallel to the end face of a bar member, while the end face of the bar member is applied by a pressing unit to the polishing sheet. The patterns obtained for the motion of the polishing table are dependent upon the relative radii chosen for the eccentrics, such selection being regarded as an obvious matter of design choice to those of ordinary skill in the art.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

5. Claims 2-6, and 14 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/062,752

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed January 12, 2004, and January 30, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The particular pattern produced by the combined rotation and orbital motion of the table in Minami et al would depend upon the relative radii chosen for the axes, and the relative speeds of each of the driven shafts. Such choice is deemed to be within the realm of those of ordinary skill in the art. Note the various patterns illustrated in the prior art already of record. The particular structure recited for producing a given pattern can be given patentable weight, when, as in claims 2-6, and 14, it defines over the prior art structure.
- 7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert Rose at telephone number (703) 308-1360.

Application/Control Number: 10/062,752

Art Unit: 3723

Robert Rose Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

Rr

March 30, 2004.